THE HONORABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 MICHAEL MOI, an individual, 9 No. 2:17-cv-00853-RSL Plaintiff, 10 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' SECOND MOTION TO SEAL v. 11 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIVE FILINGS CHIHULY STUDIO, INC., a Washington 12 corporation; DALE CHIHULY, individually and as a married person; 13 LESLIE CHIHULY, individually and as a married person, 14 Defendants. 15 CHIHULY, INC., a Washington 16 corporation; and DALE CHIHULY, individually, 17 Counterclaim-18 Plaintiffs, 19 v. 20 MICHAEL MOI, an individual, 21 Counterclaim-Defendant 22 23 This matter came before the Court on defendants' "Motion to Seal (Attachments to Moi's 24 Opposition to Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony of Barbara Blades-Lines)." Dkt. # 158. 25 26 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' SECOND MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIVE FILINGS - 1

1 Having considered the motion, plaintiff's response, and the underlying responsive documents, 2 the Court finds as follows: 3 1. The good cause standard applies to the sealing of the exhibits at issue because the 4 admissibility of expert testimony is not dispositive; and 5 2. Good cause exists to seal the exhibits. 6 7 The Motion to Seal is therefore GRANTED, and Dkt. #153 shall remain under seal. In response 8 to counsel's rhetorical question (Dkt. #160 at 2), plaintiff is hereby advised that, if he intends to 9 file documents that defendants have designated as confidential, he must file a motion to seal 10 (thereby allowing the document to be sealed without prior court approval pending resolution of 11 the seal issue): it will be defendants' burden to show in response that a seal is appropriate. LCR 12 5(g)(2) and (3). 13 Dated this 29th day of May, 2019. 14 15 16 MMS Casnik 17 Robert S. Lasnik 18 United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIVE FILINGS – 2